

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1-13, 30-39, and 48-53, have been resubmitted. Claims 14-29, 40-47, and 54-57, were previously cancelled. Claims 30, 38, 48, 49, and 51, have been amended. No new matter has been introduced.

#### **Examiner Interview**

The Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the productive telephone interview that was conducted on 30-MAR-2011. Participating in the interview were Examiner Ronald Laneau, David Bremer of WMS, and Jeremie Moll of WMS. Discussed was the interpretation of “real-life” video, a term that is explicitly defined by the Applicant in the specification. The Examiner agreed that a statement, added to the “Remarks” section of a formal amendment, stating that “real-life” video as appears in the claims is defined by the explicit statement in the specification, would sufficiently distinguish the invention over the cited reference. The Applicant will review the claim set and amend as necessary to ensure that the “real-life” video subject matter is included in all the presented claims.

#### **Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103**

Claims 1-13 and 30-39 were rejected as allegedly unpatentable over Miles et al. (US 2006/0142077) in view of Travis (US 5,380,007.) Claims 48-53 were rejected as allegedly unpatentable over Miles et al. (US 2006/0142077.)

The Applicant respectfully submits that the term “real-life” video included in the current claims should be interpreted according to the explicit definition of para. [0025], “As used herein, the term ‘real-life’ video refers to video clips that are not animated or computer generated.” The Examiner acknowledged that neither Miles et al. nor Travis includes a teaching or suggestion of utilizing “real-life” video, as defined in the specification and recited in the claims.

Independent claim 48 has been amended to include displaying, real-life video clips of a lottery drawing in which number-bearing balls are selected, and the selected number-bearing balls comprising a randomly generated outcome. Further, amended claim 48 includes determining the outcome of the wagering game based on a match between at least some of the player-preferred selections and the selected number-bearing balls.

Independent claim 30 has been amended to recite playing back one or more video clips of said plurality of video clips.

Dependent claim 38 has been amended to clarify the original claim language.

Because the Examiner acknowledged that neither Miles nor Travis includes all of the elements of these claims, the Applicant respectfully requests that the current application be permitted to proceed to allowance.

### CONCLUSION

The listing of claims included herein is believed to be in condition for allowance.

It is believed that no fees are presently due; however, should any fees be required (except for payment of the issue fee), the Commissioner is authorized to deduct the fees from Deposit Account No. 50-4181/247079-000249USPX for any fees inadvertently omitted which may be necessary now or during the pendency of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 4, 2011

By: /Jeremie D. Moll – Reg. No. 62,432/  
Jeremie D. Moll  
Registration No. 62,432

Attorney for WMS Gaming Inc.